
PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT



RECEIVED

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

22.SEP 2004

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

WIPO PCT (Rationalised Report according to the Notice of the President of the EPO

—	The state of the state state	ent of the EPO	published in the OJ11/2001)
Applicant's or agent's file reference			
CF017697WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notificatio Preliminary Ex	n of Transmittal of International camination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
International application No.	International filing date (day	(month/year)	Priority date (day month year)
PCT/JP03/14144	06/11/2003	1	06/11/2002
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	national classification and IPC		00/11/2002
G09G3/00			
Applicant			
CANON VADICULTUR TAX			
CANON KABUSHIKI KAISHA et al.			
 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 			
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 2 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
This report is also accompanied by ANNIENER.			
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).			
These annexes consists of a total of sheets.			
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:			
I X Basis of the report			
II Priority			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
III X Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
••• चिंहा			
IV X Lack of unity of invention			
V X Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
VI Certain documents cited			
VII Certain defects in the international application			
VIII Certain observations on the international application			
appromion			
·			
			İ
ate of submission of the demand	Deta (
	Date of	completion of this	report
13/04/2004		16/09/2004	- Doub
			opaisches Patentamp
ame and mailing address of the IPEA/	Authoria	zed officer	Major.
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich	WEIDM	IANN G O	its · En
Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 523656 e	pmu d		
Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465	Tel. (+4	19-89) 2399 2828	Se S
m PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) P20476 (Octo	ber 2002)		Office europse brevets
			office euro



l. Basis of the report

The basis of this international preliminary examination is the application as originally filed.

111. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

If all the additional search fees, which the applicant has been invited to pay, have not been paid, then all the inventions or groups of inventions corresponding to the unpaid fees will not have been searched. This means that the question of whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step, or to be industrially applicable has not been the subject of the international preliminary examination in respect of the claims corresponding to these inventions or groups of inventions (Article 17(3)(a) and Rule 66.1(e) PCT; see also international search report).

Lack of unity of invention IV.

The objection as to lack of unity raised in the international search report is maintained. The reasons for the objection are the same as those indicated in the international search report.

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ٧. industrial applicability

To the extent that the international preliminary examination has been carried out (see item Ill above), the following is pointed out:

In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the invention as defined in at least some of the claims, which have been the subject of an international search report, does not appear to meet the criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. does not appear to be novel and/or to involve an inventive step (see international search report, in particular the documents cited X and/or Y and corresponding claim references).